



Post



MrMcclaughry

1 day ago



Re: the Death of Doug Kramer, from my wife Virginia:

In respect of any serious investigation into the death of Doug Kramer, I would like to start by pointing out that in regards the documentation that Dana (@rottingjewels) recently presented on 22 September 2025 at her youtube channel, combined with her presentation of the extant rules and policies applicable to normal procedure where Doug lived applicable to his death, I tend to agree with Dana's bringing attention to what doesn't make sense about Doug's death - because it doesn't make sense.

If I was to involve myself in a serious investigation, I would first want to verify for myself that the documentation is indeed as Dana presented, and is complete (nothing missing).

I **could** say nothing about what I'm about to discuss, but I feel at this time that it wouldn't be right or respectful to Doug (despite his last words, full of undeserved accusations and negativity, to me in June of 2023) to not at least point out a few things that I consider disrespectful of truths in and around Doug's death.

Dana has instances of altering truths in her videos re: the specific events between she and I on the night of December 11, 2023 and December 12, 2023. The videos I am going to reference are currently all easy to find at her rottingjewels youtube channel.



Video reference: Dana (rottingjewels) "One for the Road" February 18 2024 - Notes:

@48:28 Dana (rottingjewels) basically accurate description of events PRIOR to and IN RELATION TO the specific event from me to her on December 11, 2023.

However, the above is followed by @50:50 multiple inaccuracies re the specific events between she and I on December 11, 2023 and December 12, 2023.

Next Dana video reference: "Scientology's Legacy of Secrets: In Honor of The Truth" September 22 2025 -


Notes:

@29:02 multiple inaccuracies. Breach of confidentiality regarding a private text conversation between she and I that I began on December 11, 2023.

A word -

I will not be baited into publicly publishing the hard evidence of Dana's breach of confidentiality to serve any kind of "us and them" click bait vendetta that seems to be occurring between Dana and others she doesn't like who have past or current involvement in Scientology, this includes myself.

Although, I no longer characterize myself as ever really being a Scientologist to the point of



A word -

I will not be baited into publicly publishing the hard evidence of Dana's breach of confidentiality to serve any kind of "us and them" click bait vendetta that seems to be occurring between Dana and others she doesn't like who have past or current involvement in Scientology, this includes myself.

Although, I no longer characterize myself as ever really being a Scientologist to the point of surrendering my identity, my principles, my integrity, or my critical thinking skills as many people did.

I cannot be "ex" anything I never actually BECAME or was CONVERTED to in the way they wanted in the first place.

It was wrong of me to take on that corralling label without examining it more closely. It is not an accurate description of me. I haven't figured out a more accurate term yet for what and who I am, but it is definitely not Scientologist nor is it ex-Scientologist.

I studied Scientology closely as to its veracity or not, giving it a fair chance. It failed in every way that I consider important. Not the least of which is convincing me of its veracity, I came to my own initial final conclusions about it and went public with my experiences and cut off all association with the Church of Scientology (and later all forms of it "outside" the church after they all failed in exactly the same ways as the Church, with me).


I studied Scientology closely as to its veracity or not, giving it a fair chance. It failed in every way that I consider important. Not the least of which is convincing me of its veracity, I came to my own initial final conclusions about it and went public with my experiences and cut off all association with the Church of Scientology (and later all forms of it "outside" the church after they all failed in exactly the same ways as the Church, with me).

After I went public. the Church of Scientology declared me Suppressive Person (Hubbard's way of calling someone crazy, admitted in his own words) and Fair Gamed for the last 25 years straight - which didn't work to "convert" me either. IMO, one of the most under-discussed goals of Fair game is to artificially engineer the person failing until they CONVERT.

All of the Scientology variants outside the Church followed in the Church's footsteps towards me in that same way when they too failed to convert me.

To this day, many of them try to hide their absolutely lockstep agreement with the Church of Scientology and its Suppressive Person/disconnection/Fair Game aka IS NOT HUMAN AND HAS NO HUMAN RIGHTS activity towards me.

How they try and hide it from the general public - "their marks" - is by calling me various psychiatric - crazy - terms like malignant narcissist, bully, and so on, instead of the Suppressive Person label, which is of course easily identifiable as official Scientology.



All of the Scientology variants outside the Church followed in the Church's footsteps towards me in that same way when they too failed to convert me.

To this day, many of them try to hide their absolutely lockstep agreement with the Church of Scientology and its Suppressive Person/disconnection/Fair Game aka IS NOT HUMAN AND HAS NO HUMAN RIGHTS activity towards me.

How they try and hide it from the general public - "their marks" - is by calling me various psychiatric - crazy - terms like malignant narcissist, bully, and so on, instead of the Suppressive Person label, which is of course easily identifiable as official Scientology.

If you see any of them doing this deceptive practice, whether they call themselves "ex" or "independent" Scientologists or "inner work" Scientologists, etc., know that this is how they try to hide that they still wholeheartedly practice ALL of the dark triad of Hubbard's Suppressive Person label and activities - because if you understand that "crazy" (and all it's current variations out there in the world) IS what Hubbard meant by Suppressive Person, you will understand much more clearly why these people jump right on board to justifying that I be isolated, disconnected from and Fair Gamed, stripped of my home, my assets, don't deserve my own husband, my own children etc., right on up to and including more than one attempted murder.

To this day, the Church and its intelligence handlers right on up to the top of that line. still try to 'claim'




To this day, the Church and its intelligence handlers right on up to the top of that line, still try to 'claim' me as Scientologist. Including that it's been programmed into the AI's when asked about me!

You should find that more than interesting that there is such a concerted effort to do so, for the ENTIRE 25 years since I left the Church. Why? Those who actually want to see, will. I would strongly suggest that those truly interested in truth should look very closely at anyone that tries to say I'm STILL a Scientologist and why they are doing it.

All that being said, and yes, I realize it appears to be off topic re Doug but it actually isn't IMO -

IF I was involved in a serious investigation regarding Doug's death, which I am not at this time, I do believe that both Parker Fate (a fake name) and another person are POIs (Persons of Interest - which doesn't automatically equal guilty) because one was perhaps the last person to speak/text with Doug prior to him going missing as to contact etc., then being found dead days later. The other person had rather fast knowledge of that death "discovery", and in my opinion, should be questioned as to how and why they were informed so quickly and how and why they sent this information to me as well as who else they sent it to.

--- that second person had been previously harrassing me with negative texts AND phone calls/voicemails concerning my relationship with Dana.



My last direct and private communication to that person was on Nov 13 2023 at 8:57PM. I made it clear what was my position regarding Dana at that time and that I had more important things in life to get done and asked for their support that all that go well.

They got the point and stopped what they were doing.


Then, out of the blue I received a text message from that person on December 11 2023 at 9:39 pm telling me about Doug.

In my initial private phone conversations with Dana starting months earlier, I had told her at what points would I consider any expectation of privacy of emails, texts, etc. would go out the window and why - one example I specifically gave her was potential murder.

Following through with that in real life, but PRIVATELY - I texted Dana, quoting the text content from that person, right after receiving it.

Both Dana and I had just recently had a PHONE conversation, the one that Dana did discuss basically accurately in her February 18 2024 video "One for the Road".

My summation of that call would be that we both held the view that something was very wrong with what was going on re: Doug, and that we both suspected something bad had happened to him, up



My summation of that call would be that we both held the view that something was very wrong with what was going on re: Doug, and that we both suspected something bad had happened to him, up to and including foul play.

To receive a random text about the death of Doug Kramer from a person that had been previously harassing me and being very insulting of me, was beyond strange by almost anyone's standards. It also smacked of a set up of some kind.


Both in respect of even the possibility that it was true that the family had not yet been notified and that if Doug was dead, there was something very wrong about the circumstances, is why I both breached that person's private communications confidentiality and why I told Dana, in writing, that we should keep Doug's death quiet for now which she agreed with and did.

I don't play around when it comes to certain things, like potential or confirmed murder, whether failed or successful.

I take full responsibility for breaching that persons private communications confidentiality, in informing Dana privately of it.

I do have the records of all these communications that I mentioned, as do other friends of mine.

At this time, I do not feel that it is right to hard-document these records publicly and fully.



I take full responsibility for breaching that persons private communications confidentiality, in informing Dana privately of it.

I do have the records of all these communications that I mentioned, as do other friends of mine.

At this time, I do not feel that it is right to hard-document these records publicly and fully.

Full disclosure, I may or may not have this post removed once I feel that it has served its purpose. Comments from people with pseudonyms who Mike and I don't know who they are in the "real" will most likely simply be deleted as will any disrespectful, harrassing or coordinated Fair Game type comments.

Virginia McClaghry



1



MrMcclaughry
2.19K subscribers

SUBSCRIBE

Comments

